

United States Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service

2007 California Almond Objective Measurement Report



Cooperating with the California Department of Food and Agriculture

California Field Office • P.O. Box 1258 • Sacramento, CA 95812 • (916) 498-5161 • (916) 498-5186 FAX • www.nass.usda.gov/ca

Released: June 29, 2007, 12:00 Noon PDT

2007 CALIFORNIA ALMOND FORECAST UP

California's 2007 almond production is forecast at a record 1,330 million meat pounds, up 2 percent from May's subjective forecast and 19 percent above last year's crop. The forecast is based on 615 thousand bearing acres. Production for the Nonpareil variety is forecast at 473 million meat pounds, 3 percent above last year's deliveries. The Nonpareil variety represents 36 percent of California's total almond production.

The California 2007 almond set is very strong, and a record high production is forecast. There was no difficulty accumulating chill hours over the winter, and there was a sufficient bee presence in orchards during pollination. In general, California weather cooperated during pollination. Almond tree limbs are reported to be bowing and splitting under the weight of the heavy crop. Growers have also helped boost yields to record levels by using closer tree spacing and improved water and nutrient delivery technologies.

The average nut set per tree is 7,413, up 10 percent from 2006. The Nonpareil average nut set of 7,067 represents a 3 percent increase from last year's set. The average kernel weight for all varieties sampled was 1.47 grams, 6 percent below last year. A total 98.5 percent of all nuts sized were sound.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

To determine tree set, nuts are counted along a path within a randomly selected tree. Work begins at the trunk and progresses to the end of the terminal branch. Using a random number table, one branch is selected at each forking to continue the path. A branch's probability of selection

is directly proportional to its cross-sectional area. This methodology is used because of its statistical efficiency. The method also makes it possible to end up at any one of the tree's numerous terminal branches.

Since the selected path has a probability of selection associated with it, this probability is used to expand nut counts arriving at an estimated set for the entire tree.

Along intermediate stages (i.e., the bearing surface between forkings), every fifth nut is picked. All nuts on the terminal branch are picked. These nuts are used to determine size and weight measurements.

FIELD SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

The survey began May 24 and sampling was completed by June 18. There were 1,730 trees sampled for the 2007 survey in 865 orchards. An additional 94 orchards were not sampled for one of the following reasons:

- 1) Orchard had been sprayed.
- 2) Orchard had been recently irrigated and was wet.
- 3) Orchard had been pulled.
- 4) Grower would not grant permission or could not be contacted.

The Objective Measurement Survey is funded by the Almond Board of California.

DATA RELIABILITY

The 80 percent confidence interval is from 1,235 million meat pounds to 1,425 million meat pounds. This means that the results of our sampling procedures will encompass the true mean 80 percent of the time.

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF NUT ESTIMATES AND ORCHARDS SAMPLED BY DISTRICT AND VARIETY, JUNE OBJECTIVE MEASUREMENT SURVEY COUNTS, 2002-2007

	10111101	7 11 12 17 1		0112 000		1112/1001	<u> </u>	00111		0, 2002		
District	2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
District and Variety	Nuts Per Tree	Orchards Sampled										
ALL DISTRICTS (All Varieties)	8,100	786	7,002	777	7,162	749	5,461	838	6,723	834	7,413	865
BY DISTRICTS District I												
Sacramento Valley District II	7,849	141	7,648	149	6,527	131	6,326	142	6,888	151	7,758	135
San Joaquin Valley	8,128	645	6,849	628	7,290	618	5,262	696	6,710	683	7,350	730
BY VARIETIES												
Butte	8,741	99	8,904	110	8,788	112	7,471	112	7,624	110	7,866	109
California Types 1/	7,615	177	6,815	183	6,665	172	5,275	262	5,945	268	7,633	285
Carmel 2/	7,146	99	6,727	97	6,380	90	4,698	144	5,415	149	7,159	161
Mission	8,235	29	8,055	28	6,719	26	6,410	19	6,667	21	7,391	16
Nonpareil	8,043	373	6,110	358	6,676	335	4,650	347	6,848	340	7,067	370
Padre	8,268	48	9,729	57	9,414	54	7,127	52	7,801	52	8,000	59

^{1/} For survey purposes, the California classification includes the following varieties: Aldrich, Ballico, Carmel, Davey, Fritz, Harvey, Le Grand, Mono, Monterey, Norman, Price Cluster, Ruby, Tokoyo and Yosemite.

2/ Carmel variety is also included in California Types.

TABLE 2: WEIGHT SIZE AND GRADE OF AVERAGE ALMOND SAMPLE 2002-2007

		:: WEIGHT, SIZE AND GRADE OF AVERAGE ALMOND SAMPLE, 2002-2007									
District	Kernel	Kernel Size (Millimeters)						rade (Percent of Nuts) a/			
and Variety	Weight (Grams)	Length	Width	Thickness	Singles	Doubles	Insect Damage	Shrivel	Natural Gum	Blank	Other
ALL DISTRICTS	(Grains)	Lengin	widin	THICKHESS	Singles	Doubles	Damage		Guili		
2002	1.41	21.54	12.52	9.86	96.8	2.1	h/	0.7	<u>b</u> /	h/	0.2
2003	1.67	22.24	13.30	10.47	94.6	3.0	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	1.8	0.2	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	0.4
2004	1.45	22.44	12.34	9.72	95.2	3.2	<u>b</u> /	1.3	0.1	<u>b</u> /	0.1
2005	1.79	23.73	13.35	10.45	95.0	2.7	<u>b</u> /	1.9	0.1	<u>b</u> /	0.3
2006	1.57	21.64	12.91	10.31	92.0	5.3	<u>=</u> / b/	1.9	0.1	<u>=,</u> b/	0.5
2007	1.47	21.81	12.39	9.96	94.6	3.9	<u>=</u> , b/	1.2	0.2	<u>=</u> , b/	0.2
BY DISTRICT				-			=			=	
Sacramento Valley c/											
2002	1.47	22.65	12.77	9.90	96.0	2.2	b/	0.9	b/	b/	0.8
2003	1.76	23.21	13.85	10.77	93.2	3.0	5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5/ 5	2.1	<u>b</u> / 0.3	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 	1.3
2004	1.52	23.62	12.42	9.66	94.3	3.8	b/	1.1	0.1	b /	0.7
2005	1.82	24.63	13.75	10.73	94.5	2.7	b /	1.5	<u>b</u> /	b /	1.1
2006	1.55	22.30	13.24	10.39	87.1	8.0	b /	1.9	0.2	b /	2.8
2007	1.59	22.97	13.26	10.34	93.4	4.5	b /	0.7	0.2	b /	1.2
San Joaquin Valley <u>d</u> /							_				
2002	1.39	21.22	12.45	9.84	97.0	2.1	<u>b</u> /	0.7	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /	0.1
2003	1.64	21.92	13.12	10.37	95.1	3.0	b/	1.7	0.1	b/	<u>b</u> /
2004	1.44	22.17	12.32	9.74	95.4	3.0	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	1.3	0.1	<u> </u>	b/ b/ b/ b/
2005	1.78	23.46	13.23	10.37	95.1	2.6	<u>b</u> /	2.1	0.1	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
2006	1.58	21.49	12.84	10.29	98.1	4.8	<u>b</u> /	1.9	0.1	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
2007	1.44	21.58	12.22	9.89	94.8	3.8	<u>b</u> /	1.3	0.2	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
BY VARIETY											
Butte											
2002	1.23	18.99	12.14	10.03	95.8	2.9	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	0.7	<u>b</u> / 0.2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 	0.4
2003	1.41	19.67	12.55	10.49	93.5	3.5	<u>b</u> /	2.5		<u>b</u> /	0.3
2004	1.22	19.98	11.66	9.76	100.0	<u>b</u> /	<u>D</u> /	<u>b</u> /	<u>b/</u> <u>b/</u> <u>b</u> /	<u>D</u> /	<u>b</u> / 0.2
2005	1.47	20.79	12.62	10.45	95.6	2.5	<u>D</u> /	1.7	<u>D</u> /	<u>D</u> /	0.2
2006 2007	1.32 1.22	19.08 19.18	12.37 11.74	10.26 9.87	93.9 94.8	4.9 4.2	<u>D</u> /	0.9 0.7	<u>D</u> /	<u>D</u> /	0.2 0.3
California Types e/	1.22	19.10	11.74	9.07	94.0	4.2	<u>D</u> /	0.7	<u>D</u> /	<u>D</u> /	0.3
2002	1.41	21.88	12.08	9.82	94.8	3.7	h/	0.9	0.1	h/	0.4
2002	1.62	22.71	12.68	10.21	94.2	4.1	<u>b</u> /	1.4	0.1	<u>b</u> /	0.4
2004	1.50	23.15	12.20	9.74	95.9	2.3	<u>b</u> /	1.4	0.2	<u>b</u> /	b/
2005	1.77	23.90	13.07	10.45	92.9	5.6	<u>b</u> /	1.4		<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
2006	1.60	21.75	12.74	10.42	87.6	9.9	<u>=</u> , b/	2.0	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	<u>=</u> , b/	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> / 0.5
2007	1.44	22.20	11.85	9.88	93.3	5.0	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	1.2	0.2	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	0.2
Carmel f/							_			_	
2002	1.39	22.20	11.96	9.64	96.6	2.6	<u>b</u> /	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
2003	1.59	23.00	12.46	9.97	95.8	3.3	<u>b</u> /	0.9	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
2004	1.49	24.01	11.83	9.62	95.6	3.2	0.2	0.9	b/	b/	0.1
2005	1.83	25.65	12.74	10.19	94.0	3.9	<u>b</u> /	1.6	0.4	<u>b</u> /	0.1
2006	1.59	23.12	12.38	10.06	90.6	7.0	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	1.8	0.3	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> / <u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	0.3
2007	1.47	22.78	11.74	9.86	93.5	4.8	<u>b</u> /	1.4	0.2	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
Mission		40 ==	40.0-							. ,	
2002	1.18	18.72	12.08	9.95	98.1	0.5	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	0.5	0.1	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	0.8
2003	1.64	20.39	13.42	10.97	93.4	5.1	<u>b</u> / b/	0.3	0.4	<u>b</u> /	0.9
2004	1.42	19.97	12.26	10.48	90.4	7.8		0.9	0.5	<u>b</u> /	0.3
2005	1.63 1.53	20.78 19.30	13.29	11.16 11.23	94.0 92.9	2.2 5.4	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	3.2 1.7	0.2	<u>b</u> /	0.4
2006 2007	1.33	19.30	13.56 12.44	10.43	92.9 96.0	5.4 3.5	<u>D</u> /	0.6	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /
Nonpareil	1.33	19.41	12.44	10.43	90.0	3.5	<u>D</u> /	0.0	<u>D</u> /	<u>D</u> /	<u>D</u> /
2002	1.50	22.59	12.91	9.79	97.9	1.3	h/	0.5	<u>b</u> /	h/	0.1
2003	1.85	23.90	14.09	10.42	96.1	1.6	<u>b</u> /	1.7	0.2	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	0.4
2004	1.58	23.70	12.95	9.66	96.2	2.2	<u>≥</u> , b/	1.3	0.2	<u>b</u> /	0.2
2005	1.99	25.23	14.13	10.43	95.5	1.5	<u>≃</u> / b/	2.4	<u>b</u> /	<u>≃</u> / h/	0.5
2006	1.68	22.45	13.39	10.30	92.8	3.8	<u>≅</u> , b∕	2.5	<u>2</u> / 0.1	<u>b</u> / <u>b</u> / <u>b</u> /	0.8
2007	1.61	22.87	13.17	10.06	95.3	3.2	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1.1	0.1	<u>b</u> /	0.2
Padre			*···•		****	*	=	***		<u>~</u>	
2002	1.25	18.70	12.15	10.34	97.2	1.5	<u>b</u> /	1.1	<u>b</u> /	0.1	0.1
2003	1.47	19.26	12.65	11.00	93.8	3.0	<u>b</u> /	3.1	0.1	<u>b</u> /	0.1
2004	1.20	19.38	11.65	9.92	96.4	2.0	<u>b</u> /	1.3	0.3	b/	0.1
2005	1.60	20.96	13.10	10.92	96.5	1.3	<u>b</u> /	2.0	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /	<u>b</u> /
2006	1.34	18.82	12.37	10.49	95.1	2.8	b/ b/ b/ b/ b/ b/	1.6	0.3	0.1	<u>b/</u> <u>b/</u> 0.1
2007	1.22	19.03	11.61	9.98	95.3	2.2	<u>b</u> /	2.1	0.3	<u>b</u> /	0.1

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding. Not shown if less than 0.07 percent.


a/ b/ c/ d/ e/ Not snown it less than 0.07 percent.

Sacramento Valley includes these counties: Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Solano, Sutter, Tehama, Yolo and Yuba.


San Joaquin Valley includes these counties: Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, San Joaquin, Stanislaus and Tulare.


For survey purposes, the California classification includes the following varieties: Aldrich, Ballico, Carmel, Davey, Fritz, Harvey, Le Grand, Mono, Monterey, Norman, Price Cluster, Ruby, Tokoyo and Yosemite.


Carmel variety is also included in California Types.



ALMONDS BY VARIETY










TABLE 3: CALIFORNIA ALMOND ACREAGE, PRODUCTION AND TREES PER ACRE, 1980-2007

Year	Descripe Asses 4/		Average		
	Bearing Acres <u>1</u> /	Metric Tons 2/	Million Lbs.	Lbs. Per Acre	Trees Per Acre
1980	327,000	146,000	322	985	N/A
1981	326,000	185,000	408	1,250	N/A
1982	339,000	157,000	347	1,020	N/A
1983	360,000	110,000	242	673	N/A
1984	381,000	268,000	590	1,550	N/A
1985	409,000	211,000	465	1,140	N/A
1986	416,000	113,000	250	601	84.5
1987	417,000	299,000	660	1,580	84.0
1988	419,000	268,000	590	1,410	86.3
1989	411,000	222,000	490	1,190	87.3
1990	411,000	299,000	660	1,610	88.4
1991	405,000	222,000	490	1,210	89.6
1992	401,000	249,000	548	1,370	90.5
1993	413,000	222,000	490	1,190	92.0
1994	433,000	333,000	735	1,700	92.6
1995	418,000	168,000	370	885	93.7
1996	428,000	231,000	510	1,190	94.4
1997	442,000	344,000	759	1,720	95.5
1998	460,000	236,000	520	1,130	96.3
1999	485,000	378,000	833	1,720	97.3
2000	510,000	319,000	703	1,380	99.0
2001	530,000	376,000	830	1,570	101.0
2002	545,000	494,000	1,090	2,000	101.0
2003	550,000	472,000	1,040	1,890	103.0
2004	570,000	456,000	1,005	1,760	103.0
2005	580,000	415,000	915	1,580	104.0
2006	585,000	506,000	1,115	1,910	105.0
2007	615,000	603,000	1,330	2,160	105.0

VIC TOLOMEO

Director

USDA, NASS, CALIFORNIA FIELD OFFICE P.O. BOX 1258 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812

JACK RUTZ - SARAH HOFFMAN (916) 498-5161 Fax: (916) 498-5186 **Deputy Directors**

DOUG FLOHR Statistician

JENNIFER VAN COURT Statistician

All USDA-NASS, California Field Office publications are available free-of-charge on the Internet at: http://www.nass.usda.gov/ca

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Bearing acreage is defined as plantings four years and older. Rounded to nearest thousand, metric ton = 2,204.62 pounds.