AGRICULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SURVEY

OMB No. 0535-0218 Approval Expires: 11/30/2023 Project Code: 906 SurveyID: 1347 Phase 2



U.S. Department of Agriculture National Operations Division 9700 Page Avenue, Suite 400 St. Louis, MO 63132-1547 Phone: 1-888-424-7828 Fax: 855-415-3687

Email: nass@usda.gov

| | | COTTON | <u> PKODU</u> | CTION PRA | ACTICES I | KEPORT F | OK 2021 | | = |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | VERSION 79 | N | | D | | TRACT 01 | SUBTRACT | C-TYPE 106 | |
| | | • | | CONTACT | T RECORD | | | | • |
| DATE | TIME | | | | ١ | NOTES | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| T | ., | | | | | | | | |
| discloses ANY accordance wi U.S.C. Ch. 35 https://www.na According to tl collection of in complete this | identifiable in the Confider and other appass.usda.gov/one Paperwork formation unlessinger and the conformation co | will be used for stanformation about your trial Information Policable Federal law confidentiality. Respection Act of 1 tess it displays a valuection is estimated trees, gathering and | ou or you Protection ws. For m ponse is 1995, an a lid OMB o | r operation is and Statistica ore information voluntary. agency may recontrol number age 50 minution | subject to a al Efficiency on on how w not conduct of er. The valid tes per response | jail term, a f Act of 2018 ee protect yo or sponsor, a OMB numb onse, includi | fine, or both. Th , Title III of Pub. our information p and a person is er is 0535-0218 ng the time for r | is survey is cor L. No. 115-435 lease visit: not required to . The time requeviewing instru | ducted in i, codified in 44 respond to a ired to ctions, |
| | ш | н м м | | | | | 90DI | EENING BOX | |
| | IG TIME 0004 | | | | | | 0006 | LINING BOX | |
| Check if ve | rified POID _ | | | | Checl | k if verified | POID | | |
| Name: | | | | | Name: | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | | | | |
| City: | | State: | Zip: _ | | City: | | Stat | e: Zip |): |
| | | | | check if | | | | | check if |
| Dhana. (| , | | | cell phone | Dhono: / | \ | | | cell phone |
| Filone. (| _) | | | Ш | Friorie. (_ | / | | | |
| Check if ve | rified POID _ | | | | Check | k if verified | POID | | |
| Name: | | | | | Name: | | | | |
| | | | | | Address: _ | | | | |
| City: | | State: | Zip: _ | | City: | | State | e: Zip | : |
| | | | | check if cell phone | | | | | check if cell phone |

Phone: (_

Phone: (_

| | | | Total Planted Acres |
|-----|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 1 | How many total acres of cotton did this operati | ion plant for the 2021 crop year? | 0050 |
| | The many total delect of collect did time operation | lon plant for the 2021 stop your | • |
| [If | no acres were planted, review Screening Surve | y Information Form, make notes, then go to back page. |] |
| ١w | ill follow a simple procedure to make a random | selection from the cotton fields planted for the 2021 cro | p. |
| | | | Total Number Of |
| | | | Fields Planted |
| 2. | | vere planted on this operation? [If only one field, enter | 0020 |
| | | 1 | |
| | | | |
| 3. | [Now, I need to identify a cotton field to be use | ed for this survey.] The cotton field pre-selected for this | interview is the: |
| | ₁ Northern most field | | |
| | 2 Southern most field | Field description: | |
| | ₃ Eastern most field | There decomplises. | |
| | 4 Western most field | | |
| | ₅ Northeastern most field | | |
| | ₆ Southeastern most field | | |
| | ₇ Northwestern most field | | |
| | 8 Southwestern most field | | |
| | _ | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Office Use OY Field Substituted |
| | | | 0022 |
| | APPLY "RANDOM NUMBER" LABEL HE | RE | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 4. | The field selected is (field r During this interview, the cotton questions will | name/number/description). be about this selected cotton field. | |
| | [Be sure the operator can identify the selected | | |
| | | | Acres |
| 5 | How many acres of cotton were planted in this | field for the 2021 crop? | 1301 |

| | | | | | Code | ; | Office U Edit Tab | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|----|--|
| 1. | Were commercial nutrients or fertiliz 2021 cotton crop? INCLUDE those contractors | from operators, la | andlords, and | Yes=1 No=3 | 0202 | | 0200 | | |
| [If | commercial nutrient or fertilizer applie | ed, continue, else | go to Section D.] | | | - | Numbe | ər | |
| 2. | 2. How many commercial nutrient or fertilizer applications were made to the selected field for the 2021 crop? INCLUDE applications made by airplanes and custom applicators | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | [Now I need to record information for each application.] | | | | | | | | |
| | CHE | CKLIST | | | | | | | |
| | INCLUDE | E | EXCLUDE | | | | | | |
| | Custom applied nutrients or fertilizers | Micronutrients | | | | | | | |
| | Nutrients or fertilizers applied in the fall of 2020 and those applied earlier if the selected field was fallow in 2020. | Unprocessed r Nutrients or fer crops in the se | tilizers applied to previous | | | | | | |
| | Commercially prepared manure or compost | Lime and gyps | um/landplaster | Office Us Lines in Tal | | ible 01 | 0299 | | |
| | | | Applic | ation Codes | for Column | 6 | | | |
| | | | 1 Broadcast, ground witho 2 Broadcast, ground with i 3 Broadcast, by aircraft 4 In seed furrow | | 6 Chisel/ 7 Banded | ation wate injected o d in or ove or directed | r knifed in er row | | |

| | | | 2 | | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------------|---------------|--|---------------|-------------|---|---------------------|---|---|---|
| L N E | pla | rcentage ana ant nutrients a | applied per a | cre.] | What quantity was applied per acre? [Leave this column blank if actual nutrients were repeated] [Enter material code] 1 Pounds 12 Gallons 13 Quarts 19 Pounds of | | When was this applied? 1 In the fall before seeding 2 In the spring before seeding | How was this applied? [Refer to code list above] | How many acres in the selected field were treated in this application? |
| | N Nitrogen | P ₂ O ₅ Phosphate | K₂O Potash | S Sulfur | were reported] | actual nutrients | 3 At seeding 4 After seeding | | Acres |
| 01 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 02 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 03 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 04 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 05 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 06 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 07 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 08 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 09 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 10 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |

Now I have some questions about all the biocontrols or pesticides used on the selected field for the 2021 cotton crop, including both custom applications and applications made by this operation.

| | | | | Code | Office Use Edit Table |
|----|---|---------------|------|------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Were any herbicides, insecticides, fungicides or other biocontrols or pesticides used on this cotton field for the 2021 crop? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0302 | | 0300 |

[Probe for applications made in the fall of 2020 and those made earlier if the selected field was fallow.]

If no biocontrols or pesticides applied, go to Section E.

| , | EXCLUDE adjuvants, nutrients or fertilizers | 7 | | |
|--|---|---------------|-------|------|
| insecticides, and other pesticides | reported earlier and seed | Office Use | Table | 0399 |
| INCLUDE biological and botanical pesticides. | treatments. | Line in Table | 001 | 0000 |

| | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 OF | R 7 | 8 |
|--------------------------|------|---|----|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| Chemical Product Name | LINE | What products were applied to the selected field? [Show product codes from Respondent Booklet.] | | If this was part of a tank mix, enter line number of first product in mix. | When was this applied? 1 Before planting 3 At planting 4 After planting 5 Defoliation prior to harvest | How much was applied per acre per application? | What was the total amount | [Enter unit code] 1 Pounds 12 Gallons 13 Quarts 14 Pints 15 Liquid Ounces 28 Dry Ounces 30 Grams |
| | 01 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 • | 73 | 74 |
| | 02 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 03 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 04 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 05 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 06 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 07 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 08 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 09 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 10 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 11 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 12 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 13 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |
| | 14 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 73 | 74 |

| 2. | For biocontrols or | pesticides no | t listed in Res | pondent Booklet | t. specify |
|----|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| | | | | | |

| Line | Pesticide Type (Herbicide, Insecticide, Fungicide, etc.) | EPA No. or Trade Name and Formulation | Form Purchased (Liquid or Dry) | Where Purchased (Ask only if EPA No. cannot be reported) |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Applications Codes for Column 9

- 1 Broadcast, ground without incorporation
- 2 Broadcast, ground with incorporation
- 3 Broadcast, by aircraft
- 4 In seed furrow
- 5 In irrigation water

- 6 Chiseled/injected or knifed in
- 7 Banded in or over row
- 8 Foliar or directed spray
- 9 Spot treatments

| | _ | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| | How was this product applied? | How many acres in the selected field were treated with this | How many times was it applied? | Were these applications made by |
| L I N | [Enter code from above.] | product? | | Operator, partner, or family member? Custom applicator? Employee/Other? |
| Е | | Acres | Number | , , , , |
| 01 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 02 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 03 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 04 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 05 | 76 | 77 • <u> </u> | 79 | 80 |
| 06 | 76 | 77 • | 79 | 80 |
| 07 | 76 | 77 • | 79 | 80 |
| 08 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 09 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 10 | 76 | 77 • | 79 | 80 |
| 11 | 76 | 77 • | 79 | 80 |
| 12 | 76 | 77 • | 79 | 80 |
| 13 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |
| 14 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 |

| | ow I have some questions about your pest tton crop. By pests, we mean weeds, inse | | | es used on the sele | cted fie | ld for the 2021 |
|-----|--|---------------|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| [Er | numerator Action: Were pesticide applicat | • | ed in Section D?] | | | Code |
| 1. | Were weather data used to assist in dete | _ | | | Yes=1 No=3 | 0800 |
| 2. | Were any biological pesticides such as E neem or other natural/biological based preselected field? | roducts spra | ayed or applied to manage | e pests in the | Yes=1 No=3 | Code 0801 |
| 3. | Were pesticides with different mechanism purpose of keeping pests from becoming | | | | Yes=1 No=3 | 0802 |
| 4. | Were records kept for the selected field t diseases? | | Yes=1 No=3 | 0823 | | |
| 5. | Did you use published information on information on information measures to manage pests in the selected | | Yes=1 No=3 | 1824 | | |
| 0 | 1- 0004 h | | By deliberately going to the figure scouting activities [Enter code] | | | Code |
| 6. | In 2021, how was the selected field prima scouted for insects, weeds, diseases, an beneficial organisms? | d/or | 2 By conducting general observ performing routine tasks [Ent item 8.] | | | 0808 |
| | 3 The selected field was not scouted. [Enter code and go to item 10.] | | | | | |
| 7. | Was an established scouting process suc or were insect traps used in the selected | | | | Yes=1 No=3 | 0809 |
| 8. | Was scouting for pests done in the selec | ted field due | e to | | | |
| | a. a pest advisory warning? | | | | Yes=1 No=3 | 0810 |
| | b. a pest development model? | | | | Yes=1 No=3 | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 | |
| | | | [If column 2 = 1, ask] What was the infestation level for [column 1]? | Who did the n | mn 2 = 1, najority o [column | f the scouting |
| 9. | Was this cotton field scouted for | | 1 Higher than normal2 Normal3 Lower than normal | 1 Operator, partner or2 An employee3 Farm supply or che | mical dea | aler |
| | | Yes=1 No=3 | Code | 4 Independent crop c | onsultant Code | or commercial scout |
| | a. weeds? | 0812 | 0813 | 0814 | | |
| | b. insects or mites? | 0815 0818 | 0816 | 0817 | | |
| | c. diseases? | 0820 | | | | |

| | | | | | Code |
|-----|----|--|---------------|------|------|
| 10. | | you use field mapping of previous weed problems to assist you in making weed nagement decisions? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0825 | |
| 11. | | you do any of the following other types of pest management for the specific purpose of naging or reducing the spread of pests in the selected field? | | | Code |
| | a. | Use the services of a diagnostic laboratory for pest identification or soil plant tissue pest analysis for the selected field? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0841 | |
| | b. | Plow down crop residue using conventional tillage? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0842 | |
| | C. | Remove/burn down crop residue? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0843 | |
| | d. | Rotate crops in the selected field during the past three years? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0844 | |
| | e. | Maintain ground covers, mulches, or other physical barriers? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0845 | |
| | f. | Choose crop variety because of specific resistance to a certain pest? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0846 | |
| | g. | Use no-till or minimum till? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0847 | |
| | h. | Plan planting locations to avoid cross infestation of pests? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0848 | |
| | i. | Adjust planting or harvesting dates? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0849 | |
| | j. | Chop, spray, mow, plow, or burn field edges, lanes, ditches, roadways, or fence lines? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0850 | |
| | k. | Clean equipment and field implements after completing field work to reduce the spread of pests? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0851 | |
| | I. | Adjust row spacing, plant density, or row directions? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0852 | |
| | m. | Have the seed treated for insect or disease control after you purchased the seed for the selected field? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0854 | |
| | n. | Maintain a beneficial insect or vertebrate habitat? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0855 | |
| | 0. | Maintain buffer strips or border rows to isolate cotton from non-organic crops or land, or did you take a buffer harvest? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0856 | |
| | p. | Use a flamer to kill weeds? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0857 | |
| | q. | Plant earlier or later to avoid weeds? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0865 | |
| | | | | | Code |
| 12. | | re any beneficial organisms, such as insects, nematodes, or fungi, applied or released in the ected field to manage pests? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0853 | |
| 13. | | re floral lures, attractants, repellants, pheromone traps, or other biological pest controls used the selected field? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0858 | |
| 14. | Wa | s a trap crop, excluding fallow, grown to help manage insects in the selected field? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0863 | |
| | | s the selected field left fallow in 2020 to help manage insects on the selected field? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0864 | |
| 16. | | re water management practices such as irrigation scheduling, controlled drainage, or | | | Code |
| | | atment of retention water used on the selected field to manage pests or toxin-producing fungi bacteria? | Yes=1 No=3 | 0861 | |

| Completion Code for Pest Management Data | | | |
|--|------|--|--|
| 1 Incomplete/Refusal | 0500 | | |

| E- | E-1 | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | [If column 2 = 1, ask] Was the infestation/population level higher than the economic threshold for treatment? | [If column 2 =1, ask] How many pesticide applications did you make to treat this pest? |
| 1. | In 2021, do you believe this cotton field was infested with | 1 Yes 3 No 99 Don't know | Much lower (between 0.5 and 0 times the threshold) Lower (between 1 and 0.5 times the threshold) Higher (between 1 and 1.5 times the threshold) Much higher (over 1.5 times the threshold) Don't know Code | Number of Applications |
| | Insects or Mites? | | | |
| | a. Plant Bugs? | 2260 | 2261 | 2262 |
| | b. Stink Bugs? | 2263 | 2264 | 2265 |
| | c. Aphids? | 2266 | 2267 | 2268 |
| | d. Whiteflies? | 2269 | 2270 | 2271 |
| | e. Cotton Bollworms/Corn Earworms? | 2272 | 2273 | 2274 |
| | f. Thrips? | 2275 | 2276 | 2277 |
| | | | | Yes = 1 No = 3 |
| 2. | | | ally engineered (GE) seeds during the 2021 cro | p 2300 |
| [If | item 2 = 1, continue, otherwise, go to | item 4.] | | |
| 3. | Did the cotton planted on the selecte genetically engineered (GE) traits in | | ny of the following genetically modified (GM) or | Yes = 1 No = 3 |
| | | | | |

| 3. | | d the cotton planted on the selected field have any of the following genetically modified (GM) or netically engineered (GE) traits in 2021? | Yes = 1 No = 3 |
|----|----|---|-------------------|
| | a. | Insect resistance (Bt) | 2302 |
| | b. | Herbicide Tolerance (HT) to glyphosate (e.g. Roundup Ready®) | 2306 |
| | C. | Herbicide Tolerance (HT) to 2,4-D (e.g. Enlist®) | 2308 |
| | d. | Herbicide Tolerance (HT) to dicamba (e.g. Extend®) | 2310 |
| | e. | Herbicide Tolerance (HT) to glufosinate (e.g. Liberty Link®) | 2312 |

| | | | | | _ | Code |
|------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 4. Hav | e herbicide tolerant seeds been pl | anted on the select | ed field any time sinc | e 2015? | Yes=1 2 | 2021 |
| [If item | 4 = 1 continue, otherwise go to ite | m 5.] | | | _ | |
| | | | If column | 2 = 1, ask ques | tions in columns | 3 - 6 |
| | 1 | 2 Have you noticed a | What was the first year | | ne decline in the controlling weeds | |
| For herb | icide tolerant seeds that are tolerant of | decline in the effectiveness of [Column 1] in controlling weeds in the selected field? | you noticed a decline in the effectiveness of [Column 1] in controlling weeds in the selected field? | 4 Increase the use of [Column 1]? | 5 Change tillage practices? | 6 Start using an alternate herbicide? |
| | | Yes=1 No=3 | Year | Yes=1 No=3 | Yes=1 No=3 | Yes=1 No=3 |
| a. | Glyphosate (e.g. Roundup®) | 2022 | 2023 | 2054 | 2025 | 2026 |
| b. | Glufosinate (e.g. Liberty®) | 2027 | 2028 | 2055 | 2030 | 2031 |
| | Dicamba (e.g. Xtend®, Xtendimax®, Engenia®) | 2032 | 2033 | 2056 | 2035 | 2036 |
| d. : | 2,4-D (e.g. Enlist®) | 2037 | 2038 | 2057 | 2040 | 2041 |
| | e selected field were not treated w h yield loss per acre would you ex | | | 0869 | ts per Acre | 1 Pounds 2 CWT 3 Tons 4 Bushels |
| | | | | | | Code |
| | you observe "stem or leaf curving ociated with dicamba or 2,4-D drift | | | | Yes=11 | 1974 |
| [If item (| 6 =1, continue, otherwise go to ite | m 7.] | | | | Code |
| ; | In your opinion, were these sympt and move off-target without dama pesticides move off-target near gr | ging the plants they | pass above) or drift (| (when | Volatility=1 Drift=2 Don't know=99 | 1980 |
| b. | Did you report the injury to state o | r local officials? | | | Yes=1 No=3 | 1981 |
| [If item (| 6b = 1, continue, otherwise go to i | tem 7.] | | | _ | Code |
| C. ' | Was the injury investigated by stat | e or local officials?. | | | Yes=1 No=3 | 982 |
| | | | | | | Code |
| blist | ar as you are aware, did farmers i ers, cupping, browning" or other s 021? | ymptoms associate | d with dicamba or 2,4 | I-D drift/volat | 41 | 1976 |

8. For the selected field, were any of the following pesticide spraying practices or activities used in 2021? Pesticides include insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and plant growth regulators (PGR).

[Enumerator Note: Column 3: Choose items 1 - 5 and/or 6 for a write-in response.]

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|------|--|---|---|--|
| Pest | icide Spraying Practice or Activity | Was this used in 2021? 1 Yes 3 No 99 Don't know Code | [Complete column for every "Yes" in Column 1.] Was it specifically used to keep pesticide application(s) on target (e.g. reduce pesticide drift)? 1 Yes 3 No 99 Don't know Code | [Complete column for every "No" in Column 1.] Why was this practice or activity not used? List all that apply. 1 Cost of labor/training 2 Cost of associated equipment/products 3 Incompatible with current production practices (e.g. topography, equipment limitations) 4 Lack of time/too busy 5 Unfamiliar with activity or practice 6 Other, specify: Code |
| a. | Altering spray time(s) depending on weather conditions (e.g. wind speed, wind direction, temperature) | 5170 | 5171 | 5173 5174 Specify: |
| b. | Drift reducing adjuvant(s) | 5175 | 5176 | 5178 5179 Specify: |
| C. | Drift reducing nozzle(s) | 5180 | 5181 | 5183 5184 Specify: |
| d. | Increased gallons per acre (GPA) spray solution | 5185 | 5186 | 5188 5189 Specify: |
| e. | Calibrate sprayer before the season | 5190 | 5191 | 5193 5194 Specify: |
| f. | Calibrate sprayer during the season | 5195 | 5196 | 5198 5199 Specify: |
| g. | Manually altering sprayer settings to improve the spray precision (e.g. altering spray pressure, ground speed, and/or boom height) | 5200 | 5201 | 5203 5204 Specify: |

| (Continued) | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Pesticide Spraying Practice or Activity | Was this used in 2021? | [Complete column for every "Yes" in Column 1.] Was it specifically used to keep pesticide application(s) on target (e.g. reduce pesticide drift)? | [Complete column for every "No" in Column 1.] Why was this practice or activity not used? List all that apply. 1 Cost of labor/training 2 Cost of associated equipment/products 3 Incompatible with current production practices |
| | 1 Yes 3 No 99 Don't Know | 1 Yes 3 No 99 Don't Know | (e.g. topography, equipment limitations) 4 Lack of time/too busy 5 Unfamiliar with activity or practice 6 Other, specify: |
| | Code | Code | Code |
| h. Adopting the use of technologies to improve the spray precision (e.g. on/off nozzle spray technology, GPS boom section controls, automatic boom height stabilization, and/or infrared technology) | 5205 | 5206 | 5208 5209 Specify: |
| i. Shielded sprayers | 5210 | 5211 | 5213 5214 Specify: |
| j. Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) (e.g. Aim Command, Raven's Hawk Eye, John Deere's Exact Apply) | 5215 | 5216 | 5218 5219 Specify: |
| k. Other - Specify: 5225 | 5220 | 5221 | 5223 5224 Specify: |

| 9. | Post-emergence herbicide applications are made to control weeds that occur after emergence of the cotton. For the |
|----|---|
| | selected field, did this operation make any post-emergence herbicide applications using aerial sprayers and/or ground |
| | boom sprayers in 2021? |

| 5241 | Yes, made post-emergence herbicide applications using ground boom sprayers - Complete table below |
|------|---|
| 5240 | Yes, made post-emergence herbicide applications using aerial sprayers - Go to item 10 |
| 5242 | No, did not make post-emergence herbicide applications - Go to item 10 |

| | | | plications Using Ground Boom ayers | Code |
|----|--|--|--|------|
| a. | What was the typical spray volume (gallons per acre-GPA) for post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1 <5 GPA 2 5 to <7.5 GPA 3 7.5 to <10 GPA 4 10 to <15 GPA | 5 15 to <20 GPA 6 20 to <25 GPA 7 25 GPA or greater 99 Don't know | 5243 |
| b. | What is the typical operating pressure for post- emergence herbicide application (PSI)? | 1 <10 PSI 2 10 to <20 PSI 3 20 to <30 PSI 4 30 to <40 PSI 5 40 to <50 PSI 6 50 to <60 PSI | 7 60 to <70 PSI 8 70 to <80 PSI 9 80 to <90 PSI 10 90 to <100 PSI 11 100 PSI or greater 99 Don't know | 5244 |
| C. | What nozzles were typically used most often for any post-emergence herbicide applications? (Select one) | Hollow Cone Full Cone Disc/Core Nozzle Flat (e.g., flat fan) | 5 Air-inclusion (AI), Air-induction, Venturi 6 Other: specify: 5246 99 Don't know | 5245 |
| d. | At what ground speed was this ground boom sprayer(s) typically driven during post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1 <5 MPH 2 5 to <10 MPH 3 10 to <15 MPH | 4 15 to <20 MPH 5 20 MPH or greater 99 Don't know | 5247 |
| e. | At what boom height above ground or crop canopy did this operation typically spray during post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1 <12 inches 2 12 to <24 inches 3 24 to <36 inches | 4 36 inches or greater 99 Don't know | 5248 |
| f. | What is the target droplet size spectrum for post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1 Less than 106 microns - extremely fine or very fine 2 106-235 microns - fine 3 236-340 microns - medium 4 341-403 microns - coarse | 5 404-502 microns - very coarse 6 503-665 microns - extremely coarse 7 Greater than 665 microns - ultra coarse 99 Don't know | 5249 |

| 10. | cot | st-emergence insecticide and/or fungicide applicat ton. For the selected field, did this operation make ng aerial sprayers and/or ground boom sprayers ir | e any post-emergence insection | | |
|-----|---|---|--|---|-------|
| | Yes, made post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications using ground boom sprayers - Complete table below | | | | table |
| | 52 | ²⁵⁰ Yes, made post-emergence insecticide/fung | gicide applications using aerial | sprayers - Go to item 11 | |
| | 52 | ²⁵² No, did not make post-emergence insecticio | de/fungicide applications - Go | to item 11 | |
| | | | Post-emergence Insecticide/l Ground Boo | | Code |
| | a. | What was the typical spray volume (gallons per acre-GPA) for post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1 <5 GPA 2 5 to <7.5 GPA 3 7.5 to <10 GPA 4 10 to <15 GPA | 5 15 to <20 GPA 6 20 to <25 GPA 7 25 GPA or greater 99 Don't know | 5253 |
| | b. | What is the typical operating pressure for post- emergence insecticide/fungicide application (PSI)? | 1 <10 PSI 2 10 to <20 PSI 3 20 to <30 PSI 4 30 to <40 PSI 5 40 to <50 PSI 6 50 to <60 PSI | 7 60 to <70 PSI 8 70 to <80 PSI 9 80 to <90 PSI 10 90 to <100 PSI 11 100 PSI or greater 99 Don't know | 5254 |
| | C. | What nozzles were typically used most often for any post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? (Select one) | 1 Hollow Cone 2 Full Cone 3 Disc/Core Nozzle 4 Flat (e.g., flat fan) | 5 Air-inclusion (AI), Air-induction, Venturi 6 Other: specify: 5256 99 Don't know | 5255 |
| | d. | At what ground speed was this ground boom sprayer(s) typically driven during post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1 <5 MPH 2 5 to <10 MPH 3 10 to <15 MPH | 4 15 to <20 MPH 5 20 MPH or greater 99 Don't know | 5257 |
| | e. | At what boom height above ground or crop canopy did this operation typically spray during post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1 <12 inches 2 12 to <24 inches 3 24 to <36 inches | 4 36 inches or greater 99 Don't know | 5258 |
| | f. | What is the target droplet size spectrum for post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | Less than 106 microns - extremely fine or very fine 106-235 microns - fine 236-340 microns - medium 341-403 microns - coarse | 5 404-502 microns - very coarse 6 503-665 microns - extremely coarse 7 Greater than 665 microns ultra coarse 99 Don't know | 5259 |
| 11. | | the selected field, which of the following spraying eck all that apply. | practices resulted in a spraye | er re-calibration in 2021? | |
| | | Computer calibration alert system | | | |
| | | Change in product being applied | | | |
| | | 5263 Observed change in spray pattern (e.g. | ., from worn nozzles) | | |
| | | 5264 Scheduled calibration (e.g., daily, mont | hly, annually) | | |
| | | 5265 When moving to a different block or cro | рр | | |
| | | 5266 Other, specify: ⁵²⁶⁸ | | | |
| | | 5267 Don't know | | | |

| 12. For the selected field, when did this operation clean the government of the check all that apply. | ground boom sprayer tank system in 2021 | ? |
|---|--|----------------------|
| 5271 Before the season | | |
| 5272 After the season | | |
| Depended on the product(s) | | |
| 5274 Regularly scheduled cleaning | | |
| 5275 Other, specify: 5277 | | |
| 5276 Never | | |
| [Enumerator Note: If response to item 12 = Never (IC 5276). | , go to item 13, otherwise continue to item | n 12a and 12b. |
| For each time that the ground boom sprayer was cleaned, how often was a tank cleaner used? | 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99 Don't know | Code 5279 |
| b. Did this operation use separate spray rigs for herbicion | de applications? | Code |
| 1 Yes 3 No 99 Dor | n't know | 5280 |
| , <u> </u> | | |
| applications made in 2021? Select one. 5281 Plastic, such as Polypropylene (i.e. Poly of a polypropylene) 2 Aluminum, brass, or other soft metal(s) 3 Stainless steel including hardened stainle 4 Other, specify: 5282 5 Don't know | ess steel | one in 20040. Observ |
| For the selected field, what were the most common reasonall that apply. | ons for replacing the nozzles on the spray | ers in 2021? Check |
| ⁵²⁹¹ Regularly scheduled calendar-based replacer | ment (e.g. annually, twice annually, month | ly, etc.) |
| 5292 Regularly scheduled replacement based on o | perating time (e.g. sprayer operating hour | rs) |
| 5293 Sporadic replacement based on area covered nozzles) | d or general intuition (e.g. it feels like the ri | ight time to change |
| 5294 Calibration problems (e.g. too high or too low | a flow rate) | |
| 5295 Observed nozzle damage (e.g. change in spra | ay pattern or leaks) | |
| ⁵²⁹⁶ Availability of new nozzle technologies | | |
| ⁵²⁹⁷ Expert and/or consultant recommendations (e | e.g. Cooperative Extension, crop consultar | nts, etc.) |
| ⁵²⁹⁸ Other, specify: ⁵²⁹⁰ | | |
| 5299 Don't know | | |
| 15. For the selected field, on what proportion did this operation or other wind-breaking structures that are at least one an height of the crop canopy for drift reduction in 2021? | nd a half times the 3 26% - 50% 4 51% - 75% | Code 5300 |

16. How often were the following sources of information used to inform pest management decisions in 2021?

| Sources of Information | How often was this source of information used? 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99 Don't know Code |
|---|---|
| a. Pesticide product labels | 5301 |
| b. University and/or Agricultural Cooperative Extension resources/recommendations | 5303 |
| c. Non-university literature, such as trade magazines, catalogs, newspapers, etc | 5305 |
| d. Grower/trade group | 5307 |
| e. Pesticide sales representatives and/or farm supply distributors | 5309 |
| f. Crop consultants paid for by the operation | 5311 |
| g. Other grower(s) | 5313 |
| h. Non-university decision tools | 5315 |
| i. Weather forecasting tools | 5317 |
| j. Other, Specify: ⁵³¹⁹ | 5320 |

17. [If 16b = 1, 2, or 3, ask--] Which of the following types of services offered by the University and/or Agricultural Cooperative Extension were most often used as sources of pest management decisions in 2021?

| | How often was this source of information used? |
|---|--|
| University and/or Agricultural Cooperative Extension Services | 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99 Don't know |
| | Code |
| a. Formal presentations (e.g. annual meetings, educational trainings) | 5322 |
| gar i annua procentanens (eng. annua mesange, enacamena mammigo) | 5323 |
| b. Field days/demonstration workshops | |
| | 5324 |
| c. Farm visits and/or one-on-one consultation | |
| d. Email lists | 5325 |
| | 5326 |
| e. Newsletters | |
| | 5327 |
| f. Crop and/or Pest Protection Handbook | |
| | 5328 |
| g. Other publications (e.g. fact sheets) | |
| | 5329 |
| h. Decision tools | |
| | 5331 |
| i. Other, Specify: ⁵³³⁰ | |

18. For the selected field, how often were the following practices used during the season to manage herbicide, fungicide, and insecticide resistance in 2021?

| Practice to Manage Resistance for Herbicide, Fungicide, and Insecticide Progration uses herbicides How often was each practice used on this operation to manage herbicide resistance? 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (61% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (7%) 9 Don't know Code 2. Field mapping weeds and/or keeping records of field history and pesticide use to assist pesticide decisions. b. Field Management/Sanitation Practices i. For weed control (e.g. crop rotation, tillage, planting cover crops, managing field borders, preventing field-to-field and within field movement of weed seed). iii. For disease control (e.g. removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders). iii. For insect control (e.g. removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders). iii. For lisease control (e.g. removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders). iii. For lisease control (e.g. removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders). iii. For lisease control (e.g. removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders). iii. For missect control (e.g. removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders). 5336 5337 5337 5339 5339 5340 5340 5341 5342 5343 5345 5346 6549 Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) rotation g. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) rotation g. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) rotation g. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fungicide, and Insecticide practice used on this operation to manage herbicide resistance? 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Newer (70%) 9 Don't know Code Cod | | | Only complete if operation uses fungicides | Only complete if operation uses insecticides |
| 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (60% or less) 4 Newer (0%) 99 Don't know Code a. Field mapping weeds and/or keeping records of field history and pesticide use to assist pesticide decisions | | practice used on this operation to manage | practice used on this operation to manage | practice used on this operation to manage |
| a. Field mapping weeds and/or keeping records of field history and pesticide use to assist pesticide decisions | | 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) | 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) | 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) |
| records of field history and pesticide use to assist pesticide decisions | | Code | Code | Code |
| i. For weed control (e.g. crop rotation, tillage, planting cover crops, managing field borders, preventing field-to-field and within field movement of weed seed) | records of field history and pesticide use | 5332 | 5333 | 5334 |
| tillage, planting cover crops, managing field borders, preventing field-to-field and within field movement of weed seed) | b. Field Management/Sanitation Practices | | | |
| incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing field borders) | tillage, planting cover crops, managing field borders, preventing field-to-field and within field movement | | | |
| incorporating field residue to reduce potential insect infestations, managing field borders) | incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, | | 5336 | |
| and/or disease-resistant varieties of cotton | incorporating field residue to reduce potential insect infestations, managing | | | 5337 |
| weeds and/or disease to reduce the return of weed seeds and/or seed-borne diseases | and/or disease-resistant varieties of | | 5338 | 5339 |
| Integrated Pest Management (IPM) treatment thresholds; predictive weather models (e.g. degree day models); pest forecasting systems, and/or assistance from diagnostic networks) | weeds and/or disease to reduce the return of weed seeds and/or seed-borne | 5340 | 5341 | |
| f. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) rotation g. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) 5347 5348 5349 | Integrated Pest Management (IPM) treatment thresholds; predictive weather models (e.g. degree day models); pest forecasting systems, and/or assistance | | 5342 | 5343 |
| g. I solicide mode of reach (more) | f. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) rotation | 5344 | 5345 | 5346 |
| product) | combination (e.g. tank mix or pre-mix | | 5348 | 5349 |

19. How often were the following Best Management Practice(s) (BMPs) used by this operation during the season in 2021?

| | , , | · · |
|---|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 |
| | How often was this practice used? | [Only answer if column 1 = 1, 2, or 3] Was this practice specifically used to prevent exposure to bees and/or other pollinators? |
| Best Management Practices | 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99 Don't know | 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99 Don't know |
| | Code | Code |
| a. Avoid applications after the crop has started blooming | 5520 | 5521 |
| b. Maintain buffer between known beehive locations | 5524 | 5525 |
| c. Select pesticides that have the lowest residual toxicity to bees | 5526 | 5527 |
| d. Use alternative application methods of an active ingredient to prevent bee exposure (e.g., non-foliar applications when bees al foraging) | | 5529 |
| e. Avoid applications when dew is forecast | 5530 | 5531 |
| f. Manage blooming plants in the field before applying pesticides the are acutely toxic to bees (e.g., mowing) | | 5533 |
| g. Make application(s) at nighttime or no more than two hours prior sunset | to 5534 | 5535 |
| h. Other, Specify: ⁵⁵³⁶ | 5537 | 5538 |
| 20. In an effort to reduce off-target impacts to plants, pollinators, and/or with or consult any of the following sources in 2021? Check all that | | peration communicate |
| 5351 Neighboring crop producers | | |
| 5352 Nearby beekeepers | | |
| 5353 A local expert, such as an Agricultural Cooperative Exter | sion agent | |
| State managed pollinator protection plans, or MP3s - MF pesticide exposure through timely communication and coapplicators, and landowners. | 3s are state-developed effo | |
| FieldWatch® - FieldWatch® is a voluntary communicatio pesticide applicators to work together to protect crops ar | | |
| Other communication tool(s), Specify: 5358 | | |
| ⁵³⁵⁷ Other, Specify: ⁵³⁵⁹ | | |

| | | Code |
|---------------|---------------|------|
| III (' 00040 | ∕es=1 No=3 | 5540 |

[If item 21 = 1 continue, otherwise go to item 22.]

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| | | | [Only answer if column 1 = "Yes"] | [Complete column for every "No" in Column 1] |
| | | Was this practice or program used in 2021? | Was this practice specifically used to provide habitat or forage to pollinators? | Why was this practice or activity not used? |
| | | | F | Check all that apply |
| | | | 1 Always (100%) | Cost Program incentive payment too low Incompatible with current production practices (e.g. topography, equipmen limitations Program not available in my location |
| | Programs and Practices | 1 Yes 3 No 99 Don't Know | 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99 Don't know | 5 Lack of time/too busy 6 Unfamiliar with activity or practice 7 Lack of technical support 8 Lack of interest 9 Other |
| | | Code | Code | Code |
| a. | Plant pollinator habitat or forage | 5541 | 5542 | 5543 |
| b. | Plant cover crops | 5544 | 5545 | 5546 |
| C. | Leave marginal land uncultivated and unmanaged | 5547 | 5548 | 5549 |
| d. | Add hedgerows, windbreaks, or buffer strips along the field border | 5550 | 5551 | 5552 |
| e. | Add hedgerows, windbreaks, or buffer strips within the field | 5553 | 5554 | 5555 |
| f. | Participate in the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) | 5556 | 5557 | 5558 |
| g. | Participate in the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) | 5559 | 5560 | 5561 |
| h. | Participate in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) | 5562 | 5563 | 5564 |
| i. | Other, specify 5565 | 5566 | 5567 | 5568 |

| 22. For this operation, describe your interactions with honey producers/beekeepers in 2021? Check all that apply. |
|--|
| ⁵⁵⁷⁰ I am aware of beekeepers utilizing my fields for forage or operating near my fields. |
| I communicate with beekeepers utilizing my fields for forage or operating near my fields. |
| I allow beekeepers to keep hives on or near my fields. |
| I assist beekeepers in finding an isolated location for their hives. |
| ⁵⁵⁷⁴ I do not mind if beekeepers utilize my fields for forage or operate near my fields. |
| I passively discourage beekeepers from using my fields for forage by posting notices or verbal communication. |
| ⁵⁵⁷⁶ I actively discourage beekeepers from using my fields for forage. |
| I do not have interactions with beekeepers. |
| Don't know. |
| 23. Are the spraying practices for other fields in this operation similar to the spraying practices for this selected field? |
| 1 Yes |
| No - Please explain the difference: ⁵³⁶⁶ |
| 99 Don't know |

CONCLUSION

| To receive the complete results of this survey on the To have a brief summary emailed to you at a later da | ite, please enter your email | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| [Enumerator Note: Thank the respondent, then rev | view this questionnaire.] | | | H M M |
| 2. Ending time [Military] | | | 0005 | - — — — |
| RECORD USE | | | | |
| 3. [Did respondent use farm/ranch records to report] | | | | Code |
| a. [fertilizer data?] | | Yes=7 | | |
| b. [pesticide data?] | | Yes=1 No=3 | · | |
| SUPPLEMENTS USED | | | ١ | Number |
| [Record the total number of each type of questionnal complete this interview | • • | FertilizerSupplements | 0041 | |
| | | Pesticide Supplements | 0042 | |
| Operation Email: (if different from above) | | Operation Phone: | | |
| 9937 | | 9936 | | check if cell phone |
| Respondent Name: | Respondent Phone (if differer | nt from above) | | |
| 9912 | 9911 | check if cell phone | MM | DD YY |
| | () | Date: | <u></u> | |

This completes the survey. Thank you for your help.

| | OFFICE USE | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---|------|-------|--------------|------|--------|
| R. Unit | Ptr 1 Str | Ptr 2 Str | Ptr 3 Str | Ptr 4 Str | OPS | SSO 1 | Optional Use | | |
| 9921 | 9922 | 9923 | 9927 | 9928 | 923 | 9907 | 9906 | 9908 | 9916 |
| Re | Response Respondent Mode Enum. POID | | | | | | | | |
| 1-Comp 2-R 3-Inac | 9901 | 2-Sp 3-Acct/Bkpr | 9902 | 1-PASI (Mail) 2-PATI (tel) 3-PAPI (Face-to-Face | 9903 | 9998 | 9989 | | |
| 4-Office Hold 5-R - Est | | 4-Partner 9-Other | | 4-CATI 5-Web | | Eval. | ADJ | | Change |
| 6-Inac - Est 7-Off Hold - Es | t | | | 6-E-mail 7-Fax 8-CAPI 19-Other | | 9900 | 922 | 998 | 5 |